

Unit 4 IP Policy 1/8: Capping Travel-Nurses Pay

Student's Full Name

School of Nursing, Institutional Affiliation

Course Number: Course Name

Instructor Name

Assignment Due Date

Sample Paper by ScholarlyWritings.com - Not for Submission.

Unit 4 IP Policy 1/8: Capping Travel-Nurses Pay

Hospitals across the country are struggling to adequately staff their inpatient beds, a critical issue driven primarily by a localized shortage of available nurses. With the remaining workforce taking on increasingly heavy assignments of high-acuity patients, hiring and retaining clinical staff has become a major operational challenge. Consequently, staff nurses are demonstrating a growing willingness to leave traditional bedside positions. Askin and Moore (2022) noted that many are transitioning into temporary travel nursing roles, drawn by significantly higher compensation packages than those offered in full-time, permanent positions. However, concerns over the rising costs of these contract services have recently prompted legislators to order a formal investigation into agency pricing. While the pandemic exacerbated this issue, the underlying nursing shortage existed long before the global health crisis. The unprecedented spikes in patient volume during the pandemic forced healthcare organizations to aggressively recruit contract staff. This subsequent surge in compensation incentivized permanent staff to resign and join travel networks, creating an expensive cycle driven by supply and demand.

To curb these ballooning operational costs, hospital administrators have increasingly considered legislation to implement salary caps on travel nurses. While capping travel nurse pay offers short-term financial relief to hospital balances, this policy proposal is ultimately detrimental to healthcare infrastructure. Despite the immediate financial benefits of capping travel nurse compensation, the proposal will worsen the nationwide nursing shortage and significantly compromise the quality of patient outcomes.

The Health Policy Issue and Its Impact on Healthcare Structure and Finance

Capping travel nurse pay is a high-stakes public health policy issue that has received extensive media coverage due to its profound implications for patient safety and hospital operations. Multiple state legislatures and healthcare executives are exploring regulatory mechanisms to cap contract compensation in an effort to minimize operational expenditures (*The New York Times*, 2022; Odom-Forren, 2022). For instance, the American Hospital Association has actively lobbied Congress to review the pricing strategies of healthcare staffing agencies to insulate hospitals from escalating labor costs.

However, evidence suggests that implementing rigid artificial caps will exacerbate the structural nursing shortage across the United States. Rather than stabilizing the workforce, this policy would force hospitals to continually search for caregivers to fill vacant slots, ultimately increasing recruitment and onboarding costs. Furthermore, clinical quality metrics and patient outcomes could decline if restrictions prevent hospitals from attracting highly qualified specialized nurses (Odom-Forren, 2022). Therefore, while the primary position of proponents is that salary caps protect hospital financial structures, the competing reality is that such measures trigger severe, systemic staffing deficits.

Media Coverage and Systemic Healthcare Impacts

Media coverage of this issue spiked during and immediately following the COVID-19 pandemic as nationwide nursing shortages reached critical levels. Two prominent media analyses illustrate the competing dimensions of this debate: a piece in *The New York Times Magazine* titled “Nurses Have Finally Learned What They’re Worth” by Lauren Hilgers, and an opinion article in *The Economist* or *The Washington Post* titled “Hospitals Desperately Need Staff. But Capping Travel-Nurses’ Pay Won’t Help” by Sarah DiGregorio. Both Hilgers (2022) and DiGregorio (2022) observe that while hospital systems are financially strained by market

rates, healthcare facilities rely entirely on these contract professionals to maintain basic operational capacity.

Implementing a strict pay cap will adversely affect healthcare delivery because a significant portion of the travel workforce will withdraw their services entirely. Moreover, the stakeholders navigating this policy—including hospital administrators and state regulators—will face immense hurdles trying to manage staffing shortages without competitive financial levers. Analyzing both dimensions reveals that capping pay will trigger widespread resignations, leaving hospitals understaffed and unable to meet escalating patient care demands.

Hilgers (2022) defends travel nurse compensation by arguing that historical wage structures consistently failed to appreciate the physical and emotional burdens of bedside nursing. Her narrative emphasizes how traveling roles offer superior working conditions and professional autonomy compared to rigid institutional structures. Hilgers uses qualitative examples to highlight why staff nurses find travel roles more desirable than traditional staff positions. Conversely, DiGregorio (2022) focuses on the systemic failures driving nurses away from staff positions in the first place. She contends that salary caps fail to address the root causes of the crisis, pointing instead to a historical institutional failure to value clinical caring. Both authors criticize the implementation of salary caps, noting that hospitals should focus instead on improving the professional lives and working environments of their permanent staff.

Credibility, Effectiveness, and Accuracy of Policy Reporting

Both Hilgers (2022) and DiGregorio (2022) offer accurate reporting by anchoring their arguments within the overlapping crises of nurse burnout and maldistribution. Empirical data confirms that nursing professionals in the United States routinely work extended shifts under high-stress conditions, facing severe professional burnout while receiving compensation that

fails to match their clinical output (Dyrbye et al., 2019; Hartz & Wright, 2019; Shah et al., 2021). Thus, both journalistic accounts provide accurate snapshots of the industry.

For example, the authors document how travel nurses regularly step into high-acuity environments, like rural intensive care units, to fill critical operational gaps. This reporting is highly accurate; rural populations experience disproportionately limited access to critical care services compared to urban centers, making contract nursing a vital bridge for health equity. By cautioning policymakers against the unintended consequences of wage restrictions, these articles serve as an effective, compelling critique of the proposed caps.

The deployment of rhetorical strategies, such as *ethos*, *pathos*, and *logos*, differentiates the focus and effectiveness of each piece. Hilgers (2022) heavily utilizes *pathos* by integrating direct, personal testimonies from travel nurses detailing their lived experiences on the clinical frontlines. These narratives evoke an emotional response from the reader while simultaneously building *ethos*, establishing authorial credibility through firsthand expert perspectives. On the other hand, DiGregorio (2022) relies primarily on *logos* to build a rational framework, aligning her analysis with peer-reviewed literature (such as Odom-Forren, 2022). This logical approach allows the reader to comprehend the economic mechanisms behind the shortage, proving that artificial caps will disrupt supply and demand curves without resolving systemic workplace distress.

Conclusion

While suppressing travel nurse compensation appears to be a swift method for hospitals to curb escalating labor costs, the strategy is ultimately counterproductive. Implementing wage caps will exacerbate the structural nursing shortage and directly threaten the safety and quality of patient outcomes. Media analysis confirms a clear consensus among healthcare

commentators: artificial caps ignore the root causes of the staffing crisis. Rather than capping compensation, healthcare organizations must address the structural and environmental vulnerabilities that drive nurses away from the bedside.

Sample Paper by ScholarlyWritings.com – Not for Submission.

References

- Askin, E. T., & Moore, N. (2022). *The health care handbook: A clear and concise guide to the United States health care system*. Lippincott Williams & Wilkins.
- Buerhaus, P. I. (2021). Current nursing shortages could have long-lasting consequences: Time to change our present course. *Nursing Economics*, 39(5), 247-250.
<http://www.nursingeconomics.net/necfiles/2021/SO21/247.pdf>
- DiGreGorio, S. (2022). Hospitals desperately need staff. But capping travel nurses' pay won't help. *The Washington Post*. <https://www.washingtonpost.com/outlook/2022/03/14/travel-nurse-pay-caps/>
- Dyrbye, L. N., Shanafelt, T. D., Johnson, P. O., Johnson, L. A., Satele, D., & West, C. P. (2019). A cross-sectional study exploring the relationship between burnout, absenteeism, and job performance among American nurses. *BMC Nursing*, 18(1), 1-8.
<https://doi.org/10.1186/s12912-019-0382-7>
- Hartz, M. R., & Wright, M. J. (2019). In demand and undervalued—The plight of American healthcare workers. *American journal of public health*, 109(2), 209-210.
<https://doi.org/10.2105/AJPH.2018.304867>.
- Hilgers, L. (2022). Nurses have finally learned what they're worth. *The New York Times Magazine*. <https://www.nytimes.com/2022/02/15/magazine/traveling-nurses.html>
- Odom-Forren, J. (2022). Travel nursing: Price gouging or supply and demand? *Journal of PeriAnesthesia Nursing*, 37(2), 153-154. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jopan.2022.01.013>
- Shah, M. K., Gandrakota, N., Cimiotti, J. P., Ghose, N., Moore, M., & Ali, M. K. (2021). Prevalence of and factors associated with nurse burnout in the US. *JAMA Network Open*, 4(2), e2036469-e2036469. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2020.36469>.